Volatile organic compounds in breath as markers of lung cancer: a cross-sectional study
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Summary

Background Many volatile organic compounds (VOCs), principally alkanes and benzene derivatives, have been identified in breath from patients with lung cancer. We investigated whether a combination of VOCs could identify such patients.

Methods We collected breath samples from 108 patients with an abnormal chest radiograph who were scheduled for bronchoscopy. The samples were collected with a portable apparatus, then assayed by gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy. The alveolar gradient of each breath VOC, the difference between the amount in breath and in air, was calculated. Forward stepwise discriminant analysis was used to identify VOCs that discriminated between patients with and without lung cancer.

Findings Lung cancer was confirmed histologically in 60 patients. A combination of 22 breath VOCs, predominantly alkanes, alkane derivatives, and benzene derivatives, discriminated between patients with and without lung cancer, regardless of stage (all p<0.0003). For stage 1 lung cancer, the 22 VOCs had 100% sensitivity and 81-3% specificity. Cross-validation of the combination correctly predicted the diagnosis in 71-7% patients with lung cancer and 66-7% of those without lung cancer.

Interpretation In patients with an abnormal chest radiograph, a combination of 22 VOCs in breath samples distinguished between patients with and without lung cancer. Prospective studies are needed to confirm the usefulness of breath VOCs for detecting lung cancer in the general population.
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Introduction

Every year, in the USA, 99 000 men and 78 000 women develop lung cancer. 5 years after diagnosis, only 14% of these people are alive. If, however, the lung cancer is localised at the time of diagnosis and treated promptly, 5-year survival increases to 48%. This fact has stimulated the search for screening tests to detect lung cancer at an early stage when it is probably localised.

Breath may contain clinically useful markers of lung cancer. In 1971, Pauling and co-workers reported that normal human breath contains a complex mixture of several hundred volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Since most VOCs are exhaled in picomolar concentrations, special methods are needed to collect and concentrate VOCs before assay. O'Neill and colleagues identified 28 breath VOCs as candidate markers of lung cancer—principally alkanes such as hexane and methylepentane, and benzene derivatives. 0-toluidine, aniline, and altered lipid-peroxidation activity have also been found in the breath of patients with lung cancer.

In this study, we studied VOCs in the breath of patients with and without lung cancer.

Methods

In a cross-sectional study, eligible patients were those scheduled for bronchoscopy to investigate a localised chest-radiograph abnormality. Other inclusion criteria were: aged 18 or older, comprehension of the breath-collection procedure, and signed informed consent. Patients with known neoplasms of any kind were excluded. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of Penn State Medical Center, Hammersmith Hospital, and St Vincent's Medical Center.

Bronchoscopy was done by standard procedures. After premedication with intramuscular meperidine and atropine, the patient's nose, nasopharynx, and oropharynx were sprayed with 1% lidocaine. Intraluminal lesions were washed or brushed for samples for cytology and a biopsy specimen was cut with standard alligator forceps. Parenchymal lesions had lavage of the appropriate airway segment for cytological washings and transbronchial biopsy under direct fluoroscopic guidance. Biopsy samples were preserved in formalin for histology. Patients with negative findings at bronchoscopy had additional investigations including computed tomography scans of the chest until the diagnosis of cancer was established or excluded. The tumour was staged by the tumour, node, metastasis (TNM) system for lung cancer.

Alveolar breath samples were collected from patients after they had fasted overnight within 24 h before bronchoscopy: the breath-collection apparatus is a portable electrical device. Patients wore a nose clip while breathing in and out of the device, via a disposable mouthpiece, for 5 min. A 10 L sample of breath was pumped through a sorbent trap which contained activated carbon and captured the VOCs for analysis. Ambient room air was collected on another sorbent trap. Each trap was stored in a hermetically sealed container for shipping to the laboratory.
Histology

| Small cell | 10 |
| Non-small cell | 50 |
| Epidermoid | 24 |
| Adenocarcinoma | 23 |
| Large cell | 1 |
| Mesothelioma | 1 |
| Melanoma | 1 |

Stage

| X | 3 |
| I | 9 |
| II | 3 |
| IIIa | 11 |
| IIIb | 7 |
| IV | 27 |

Table 1: Histology and stage of lung cancers

VOCs were thermally desorbed from each sorbent trap by automated instrumentation, concentrated by two-stage cryofocusing, separated by gas chromatography, then quantified and identified by mass spectroscopy.1

In a study of 50 healthy volunteers, the mean number of VOCs in a breath sample was 204 (SD 20). 3481 different VOCs were found of which 1753 had a positive alveolar gradient. 27 VOCs were found in all 50 participants.11

All VOCs were tentatively identified and quantified. The chemical structure was identified from a computer-based library of mass-spectra. Each VOC was quantified by the ratio of the area under the curve (AUC) of the chromatographic peak to the AUC of a standard. The alveolar gradient,11 the difference between the amounts in breath and in room air, was calculated as

\[(AUC_{room} - AUC_{cancer}) - (AUC_{room} - AUC_{cancer})\]

The technicians analysing the breath samples were masked to the results of the bronchoscopy and biopsy findings. Similarly, the physicians who did the bronchoscopies and the pathologists who analysed the biopsy samples were masked to the results of the breath test.

Forward-stepwise discriminant analysis was used to identify VOCs that could discriminate between patients with and without lung cancer. The independent variable was the clinical stage of lung cancer, and the dependent variables were the alveolar gradients of a breath VOC found in more than 50% of all patients. The relative contribution of each VOC in the model was ranked by partial Wilks' lambda. The final model was then used to calculate the posterior probability of lung cancer in each subject from the breath sample. Discriminant analysis was also used to compare predictive values from the model with those based on demographic factors (age, tobacco smoking, and sex). A cross-validation of the patients classification was done by the SPSS “leave one out” discriminant analysis procedure which predicted whether a patient belonged to the group with or without lung cancer, based on the breath VOC model derived from all the other patients in the study.

Results

Between August, 1995, and October, 1996, 108 eligible patients agreed to participate. The collection of breath samples was not associated with any adverse effects. Lung cancer was confirmed histologically in 60 patients (34 men) and excluded in 48 patients (29 men). The mean (SD) age of patients was 66.9 years (12.5) in patients with lung cancer and 61 years (13.4) in patients without. Five patients with lung cancer had never smoked compared with 12 in the group without lung cancer. The histological diagnoses are shown in table 1.

67 VOCs were common to the breath samples of 62 (57.4%) patients; of these VOCs, 22 were selected by discriminant analysis (table 2). The mean post-test probability of lung cancer was significantly higher in patients with lung cancer than in those without lung cancer (all stages p<0.0003, figure 1). In patients with stage I lung cancer, a post-test probability of 0.46 had 100% sensitivity and 81.3% specificity; a post-test probability over 0.90 had 66.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity. The diagnosis was correctly predicted by the combination of age, tobacco smoking, and sex in 65.7% of cases, compared with 81.5% by the breath VOCs. Thus the breath VOCs provided diagnostic information independent of the demographic data. Cross-validation correctly predicted the diagnosis in 71.7% of patients with lung cancer and 66.7% of those without lung cancer.

Discussion

The 22 breath VOCs that discriminated between the patients with and without lung cancer were similar to those reported by O’Neill and co-workers1 to be markers of lung cancer. There were some minor differences in

Table 2: 22 breath VOC picked out by discriminant analysis*

*Chemical identification was tentative. Listed in descending order of contribution to model.

Figure 1: Post-test probability of lung cancer by breath VOC assay
chemical structure which might be due to the use of different libraries of mass spectra. Structurally similar breath VOCs were observed in patients with and without lung cancer, but there were significant quantitative differences between the two groups.

The pathophysiology to explain our finding is not known. Part of the explanation may involve increased oxygen free-radical activity in cancerous cells.\textsuperscript{12-15} Oxygen free radicals degrade cell membranes by lipid peroxidation and convert these polyunsaturated fatty acids to volatile alkanes that are excreted in the breath.\textsuperscript{16,17} High concentrations of pentane in breath samples have been reported in breast cancer,\textsuperscript{18} acute myocardial infarction,\textsuperscript{19} heart transplant rejection,\textsuperscript{20} rheumatoid arthritis,\textsuperscript{21} and acute bronchial asthma.\textsuperscript{22} Alkanes are cleared from the body mainly by excretion through the lungs or by oxidation to alkyl alcohols via the cytochrome P450 mixed-oxidase system.\textsuperscript{23,24} 15 of the 22 VOCs were either alkanes or alkane derivatives and this structural similarity, particularly the five heptane derivatives, suggests an altered production of closely related compounds in the same metabolic pathway. In addition to the alkanes and alkane derivatives, six other VOCs were identical to those reported by O’Neill and colleagues;\textsuperscript{43} isoprene, benzene, and four benzene derivatives. The source of the benzene and its derivatives is unknown.

Tobacco smoking cannot account for the benzene derivatives since these VOCs were found in the breath of non-smokers and ex-smokers (data not shown). Nor did smoking affect the VOC markers of lung cancer since smoking, age, and sex were not indirect mediators of the predictive value of the breath VOC model. Also, the most common breath VOC from tobacco smoking, 2,5-dimethylfuran,\textsuperscript{25} was not among our 22 discriminatory VOCs.

There were no significant differences in sensitivity and specificity of breath VOCs between early and advanced lung cancer. This finding was unexpected, since the predictive power of most tumour markers generally
increases with tumour mass. However, this observation may have been skewed by the small number of patients with stage I lung cancer.

Bronchoscopy and biopsy are the "gold standards" for the diagnosis of lung cancer, but can occasionally miss a tumour. Some patients classified as "cancer-free" in this high-risk group may have had an occult neoplasm. It is less probable that there was a false-positive diagnosis from bronchoscopy and biopsy, although the specificity of the test is not well defined.
support screening of women in their 40s will affect the competing needs of other critically important health programmes and interventions. The incremental cost-effectiveness of mammography screening every 18 months in women aged 40–49 has been estimated to be about US$108 000 per year of life saved. The resources required for breast screening of women aged 40–49 could instead be focused on ensuring mammographic screening at appropriate intervals for women aged 50 and older, and ensuring that all women diagnosed with the disease receive proper treatment.

Finally, the opinions and feelings of the women who stand to benefit or be harmed by a given policy are of the greatest importance in decisions on implementation of a broad-based policy. Here again the views range widely. Although many women understand the complexity of the data and the associated uncertainty, others will support mammographic screening at any age in the mistaken belief that the risk of cancer is greatest when they are young, that mammography is “all we’ve got”, or even that mammography prevents breast cancer. Some women do not trust health-care providers, researchers, or policymakers, perhaps because they have received inaccurate or oversimplified information in the past. Furthermore, the outcomes that women value need to be understood, appreciated, and taken into account in policy-making. Policy-makers and consumers might be interested in the same outcomes but see a different balance in the trade-offs. For example, policy-makers, focusing on the need to maximise benefit and minimise cost, may limit screening to populations in which the risk of disease and remaining life-expectancy is higher. Certain consumers, on the other hand, may focus on the absolute size of the potential benefit and the cost in individual, not population, terms (eg, false positives, the need for additional tests, and possibly unnecessary treatment).

In view of these these considerations, no single policy for or against screening of women aged 40–49 will satisfy all. So what is the solution? The solution is not to keep doing more randomised trials of mammographic screening or to extend existing trials indefinitely. It is instead to recognise that right now, cancer, and especially breast cancer, is a disease that causes great fear. This fear must be addressed—through education and through research aimed at decreasing the health and mortality risks associated with a diagnosis of breast cancer. Healthcare professionals and health-care consumers should start working together as partners toward this goal.

Key Dickersin
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A “breathalyser” for lung cancer?
See page 1930
Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality in the USA and western Europe. The 5-year survival for all stages of this disease is disappointing 13%. In the 1970s, four prospective randomised trials involving over 37 000 participants were set up to study the effect of screening for early lung cancer by chest radiography and sputum cytology.1 All four studies showed that screening with either or both of these methods increased rates of detection of early-stage disease and of resectability. However, no reduction in mortality was observed in any of these studies. Although many limitations may have contributed to these disappointing results, the conclusion has to be that existing methods of screening and associated early detection do not decrease lung-cancer mortality.1 This conclusion has inspired an impressive search for better methods to identify early cellular changes related to this terrible disease.

Today’s Lancet carries a report of such a unique approach to detection of lung cancer. Michael Phillips and colleagues have built on previous observations that normal human breath contains volatile organic compounds (VOCs).2 For example, O’Neill and colleagues identified 28 breath VOCs as candidate markers of lung cancer, principally alkanes, benzene derivatives, o-toluidine, aniline, and altered lipid-peroxidation activity.3 Benzene, one of the major measurable VOCs, has been associated with leukaemias after chronic exposure in the workplace.4 Phillips and colleagues used mass spectroscopy to measure VOCs in 108 patients who were to undergo bronchoscopic investigation of a localised chest radiographic abnormality (without history of lung cancer). Lung cancer was histologically confirmed in 60 of these patients. More than 150 different VOCs were identified and quantified. Discriminant function analysis identified 22 VOCs that best distinguished patients with lung cancer from those without. Cross-validation correctly predicted the diagnosis in 72% of patients with lung cancer and 67% of those without lung cancer. The researchers concluded that although a “high-probability” breath VOC pattern does not equate with a definite diagnosis of lung cancer, such a pattern should be considered suggestive and may warrant further investigation.

Where should these provocative data be placed in the context of other lung-cancer screening strategies? In view of the overlap of high-probability VOC profiles in individuals who did not have cancer, a screening VOC profile is unlikely to be the “mammogram” of lung cancer. However, testing for breath VOC profiles might complement other innovative methods currently being investigated, either as markers of early cancer or, perhaps more importantly, as markers of preneoplastic bronchial epithelial changes. The use of these novel strategies might enable further classification of people at risk of lung cancer, such as smokers, by degree of risk. Such refinement of risk analysis might then be used to identify candidates for screening studies.

Of course, prevention of lung cancer altogether is preferable to screening. The most effective means of preventing lung cancer is avoidance or elimination of tobacco use. Although the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the USA has declined, the age-adjusted mortality of lung cancer has not shown a comparable decrease, partly because of risk of lung cancer in former smokers.5 Several
agents that might be used for "chemoprevention" of lung cancer in these patients are now under development or being assessed in clinical trials. Since definitive clinical end points for such studies, such as occurrence of lung cancer or death, do not occur rapidly, clinical chemoprevention trials require thousands of patients and many years to complete. A central concept of chemoprevention is that intervention is likely to be most effective during identifiable premalignant steps of carcinogenesis. Various histological changes in the bronchial epithelium have been reported in association with chronic smoking and lung cancer. However, bronchoscopic assessment of these changes is invasive, expensive, and subject to significant variability. Thus, apart from providing risk assessments, changes in patterns on serial biomarker analysis in pilot studies might help identify which agents to pursue in more definitive studies—effective chemopreventive agents should result in "risk reduction", which might be manifested by reversion of biomarker profiles towards "normal".

The expression of several putative surrogate biomarkers in sputum cytology has been studied. For example, investigators in the US National Cancer Institute Early Lung Cancer screening trial have analysed mutations of K-ras and p53, microsatellite alterations, and tumour-associated and differentiation-protein antigens. Preliminary results suggest that the most accurate marker for prediction of lung cancer is overexpression of an antigen detected by monoclonal antibody 703D4. The accuracy (true positive + true negative)/total of this biomarker was 88% in 62 archived dysplastic (but not diagnostic) specimens collected 2 years in advance of clinical lung cancer. This antigen target was subsequently identified as heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A2/B1. hnRNP is an RNA binding protein that is required for the maturation of mRNA precursors. The predictive value of hnRNP A2/B1 overexpression has been prospectively assessed in two high-risk populations: 595 patients with stage I, resected, lung cancer, for whom the annual risk of second primary lung cancer is 1.5%; and 6285 Chinese tin-miners with extensive exposure to tobacco smoke, radon, and arsenic, among whom the annual incidence of lung cancer is 1%. In these two populations, hnRNP A2/B1 overexpression predicted lung cancer in 67% and 69%, a 35-fold and 76-fold improvement in positive predictive value over background cancer risks of 2.2% and 0.9%, respectively. In view of the interesting results from the study by Phillips and colleagues, might alveolar-breath VOC analysis complement these analyses?

In summary, newer strategies of monitoring preneoplastic changes in bronchial epithelium, either by assays for biomarker expression in sputum cytology or analysis of breath VOC profiles, may provide improved estimates of risk in future lung-cancer screening and chemoprevention studies. Perhaps even more importantly, serial measures of biomarkers and VOC profiles may provide surrogate evidence of response (or "risk reduction") in studies of new chemopreventive agents. There is hope that, in the future, a "breathalyser" will be used for more than screening for ethanol intoxication.
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Effect of growth-hormone therapy on early atherosclerotic changes in GH-deficient adults

Despite conventional replacement therapy with cortisone, thyroxine, and gonadal steroids, adults with hypopituitarism have twice the cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality rate of the normal population. Cardiovascular risk factors such as abdominal adiposity, dyslipoproteinaemia, decreased plasma fibrinolytic activity, insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and increased prevalence of hypertension have been identified in these patients. The disturbed lipid pattern in particular has been suggested to play a major part in their premature cardiovascular mortality. Replacement therapy with growth hormone (GH) has favourable effects on several of the above-mentioned cardiovascular risk factors. It reduces abdominal (visceral) obesity, lowers serum LDL-cholesterol concentration, and increases HDL-cholesterol concentration. GH-replacement therapy has also been shown to decrease diastolic blood pressure and increase the formation of endothelium-derived nitric oxide. Moreover, long-term GH replacement does not impair insulin sensitivity. The increased mortality in this group of patients has therefore been proposed to be due to untreated GH deficiency. Serum triglyceride concentrations are, however, generally not affected by GH treatment, whereas serum concentrations of lipoprotein (a), an independent risk factor for ischaemic heart disease, are increased.

Adults on conventional replacement therapy for hypopituitarism have premature atherosclerosis, with increased intima-media thickness and increased prevalence of atheromatous plaques in the common carotid artery. Increased intima-media thickness can also occur in young adults with GH deficiency who do not have the classic cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, reduced aortic distensibility, decreased formation of nitric oxide, and increased sympathetic nerve activity have been observed in adults with GH deficiency. Recently Marija Pfeifer and colleagues have shown that GH-replacement therapy may restore intima-media thickness to normal in adults with hypopituitarism. Their study suggests that GH treatment can improve or reverse early atherosclerotic changes. Changes in the lipid pattern
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